arXiv:1403.5875v2 [math.CO] 1 Apr 2014

Period of rotor-router operation

Trung Van Pham

Abstract

The rotor-router model is a deterministic analogue of random walk. In this paper we prove that all orbits of the rotor-router operation have the same size on a strongly connected digraphs and give a formula for the size. By using this formula we address the following open question about orbits of the rotor-router operation: Is there an infinite family of non-Eulerian strongly connected digraphs such that all recurrent states are in a single orbit?

1 Introduction

The rotor-router model is a deterministic analogue of random walk that was discovered firstly by Priezzhev, D. Dhar et al. as a model of self organized criticality [6]. The model has become popular recently because it reveals many surprising properties which are similar to those of random walk [1, 2, 3, 5]. The model is studied not only on finite graphs but also on infinite graphs. In this paper we study the model on finite digraphs.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected digraph. For each vertex v the set of out-going arcs of v is equipped with a cyclic ordering, and we denote by e^+ the next arc of each arc e in E. A vertex s of G is called *sink* if its outdegree is 0. A rotor configuration ρ is a map from the set of non-sink vertices of G to E such that for each non-sink vertex v of G we have $\rho(v)$ is an out-going arc of v. We start with a rotor configuration and a chip placed on some vertex of G. When a chip is at a non-sink vertex v, routing chip at v with respect to a rotor configuration ρ means the process of moving the chip along the arc $\rho(v)^+$ and updating $\rho(v)$ to $\rho(v)^+$. Note that the chip is now at the head of the arc $\rho(v)$. We define a *single-chip-and-rotor* state to be a pair (v, ρ) of a rotor configuration ρ and a vertex v. The vertex v in (v, ρ) indicates the location of the chip in G. When v is not a sink, by routing the chip at v we obtain a new single-chip-and-rotor state (v', ρ'). This procedure is called *rotor-router operation*. Look at Figure 1 for an illustration of the rotor-router operation. In this example the acyclic ordering at each vertex is adapted to the counter-clockwise rotation. When the chip is at a sink, it stays at the sink forever, and therefore the rotor-router operation fixes such states.

When G has no sink, a single-chip-and-rotor state (v, ρ) is *recurrent* if starting from (v, ρ) and after some steps (positive number of steps) of iterating



(a) A grid graph

(b) A single-chip-and-rotor configuration (the undotted arcs for rotor configuration, and the black vertex indicates the location of the chip)

(c) Resulting single-chip-and-rotor configuration

Figure 1

the rotor-router operation we obtain (v, ρ) again. The orbit of a recurrent state is the set of all states which are reachable from the recurrent state by iterating the rotor-router operation. Holroyd et al. give a characterization for recurrent states [4]. By investigating orbits of recurrent states on Eulerian digraphs the authors ask whether there is an infinite family of non-Eulerian strongly connected digraphs such that all recurrent states are in a single orbit. An immediate fact from the results in [4, 6] is that all orbits have the same size on Eulerian digraphs, namely $|E|$. So it is natural and important to ask whether this fact also holds for general digraphs. For this problem we have the following main result.

Theorem 1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a strongly connected digraph, and c be a unicycle of G. Then the size of the orbit of c is $\frac{1}{M}\sum_{v\in V} deg_G^+(v)\mathcal{T}_G(v)$, where $\mathcal{T}_G(v)$ denotes the number of oriented spanning trees of G rooted at v and M denotes the greatest common divisor of the numbers in $\{\mathcal{T}_G(v) : v \in V\}$. As a corollary, the number of orbits is M.*

Although the orbits depend on the choice of cyclic orderings on arcs, it follows immediately from the theorem that the size of orbits is independent of the choice of cyclic orderings. Clearly, all unicycles are in a single orbit if and only if $M = 1$. By doing computer simulations on random digraph $G(n, p)$ with $p \in (0, 1)$ fixed, we observe that the case $M_{n,p} = 1$ occurs with a high frequency when n is sufficiently large. This observation contrasts to the observation on Eulerian digraphs when one sees the orbits are extremely short [4, 6].

Question. *Let $p \in (0, 1)$ be fixed. Is $\boldsymbol{Pr}(M_{n,p} = 1) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$?*

By using Theorem 1 we give a positive answer for the open question of Holroyd et al. in [4].



(a) A unicycle (b) A non-unicycle (c) A non-unicycle

Figure 2

Theorem 2. *There is an infinite family of non-Eulerian strongly connected digraphs G_n such that for each n all unicycles are in a single orbit.*

Note that the directed cycle on n vertices has a single orbit. This is the reason why the digraphs in the theorem are required to be non-Eulerian.

2 Preliminary results on rotor-router model

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a digraph. A subgraph T of G is called *oriented spanning tree* of G rooted at a vertex s of G if s has outdegree 0 in T for every vertex v of G there is unique path from v to s in T. If G has no sink, a single-chip-and-rotor state (w, ρ) is called a *unicycle* if the subgraph of G induced by the arcs in $\{\rho(v) : v \in V\}$ contains a unicycle and w lies on this cycle. Observe that the rotor-router operation takes unicycles to unicycles. Look at Figure 2 for examples of unicycles and non-unicycles. For a characterization of recurrent states we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *[4] Let $G = (V, E)$ be a strongly connected digraph. A state (w, ρ) is recurrent if and only if the subgraph of G induced by $\{\rho(v) : v \in V\}$ contains a unique cycle and w lies on this cycle.*

A vertex s of G is called a *global sink* of G if s has outdegree 0 and for every vertex v of G there is a path from v to s. If G has a global sink s, a rotor configuration ρ on G is called *acyclic* if the subgraph of G induced by the arcs in $\{\rho(v) : v \neq s\}$ is acyclic. Observe that if ρ is acyclic then $\{\rho(v) : v \neq s\}$ is an oriented spanning tree of G rooted at s. The *chip-addition operator* E_v is the procedure of adding one chip to a vertex v of G and routing this chip until it arrives at the sink. This procedure results the rotor configuration ρ', and we write $E_v\rho = \rho'$. Look at Figure 3 for an illustration of the chip-addition operator.

Lemma 2. *[4] Let $G = (V, E)$ be a digraph with a global sink s. Then the chip-addition operator is commutative. Moreover, for each $v \in V$ the operator E_v is a permutation on the set of acyclic rotor configurations of G.*



(a) A digraph with a global sink s

(b) A rotor configuration ρ with a chip at vertex v

(c) When the chip arrives at the sink: $E_v\rho$ (undotted arcs)

Figure 3

A chip configuration on G is a map from $V\backslash\{s\}$ to $\mathbb{N}$. The commutative property of the chip-addition operator allows us to define the action of the set of chip configurations c on the set of rotor configurations of G by $c(\rho) := \prod_{v\in V\backslash\{s\}} E_v^{c(v)}\rho$.

Fix a linear order $v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_n$ on V, where $n = |V|$. Then a chip configuration can be considered as a vector in $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. The $n \times n$ matrix given by

$$\Delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -a_{v_i,v_j} & \text{if } i \neq j \\ d_{v_i} - a_{v_i,v_i} & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases}$$

where d_i denotes the out-degree of v_i and a_{v_i,v_j} denotes the number of arcs connecting v_i to v_j, is called the *Laplacian* matrix of G. Let Δ' be the matrix that is obtained from Δ by deleting the row and the column corresponding to s. We define the equivalence relation $\sim$ on the set of chip configurations by $c_1 \sim c_2$ iff there is $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ such that $c_1 - c_2 = z\Delta'$.

Lemma 3. *[4] Let G be a digraph with a global sink s, ρ be an acyclic rotor configuration on G, and σ_1, σ_2 be two chip configurations of G. Then $\sigma_1(\rho) = \sigma_2(\rho)$ if and only if σ_1 and σ_2 are in the same equivalence class.*

This lemma gives a bijection between the set of equivalence classes and the set of oriented spanning trees of G rooted at s, therefore gives a proof for the matrix-tree theorem [7].

3 Period of rotor-router operation

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a strongly connected digraph without loops. Fix a linear order $v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_n$ on V, where $n = |V|$, and let Δ denote the Laplacian matrix of G. For each vertex v let $\mathcal{T}_G(v)$ denote the number of oriented spanning trees of G rooted at s. Since G is strongly connected, we have $\mathcal{T}_G(v) \neq 0$ for any vertex v. The following will be important in the proof Theorem 1.

Lemma 4. *$(\mathcal{T}_G(v_1), \mathcal{T}_G(v_2), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_G(v_n))\Delta = \boldsymbol{0}$, where $\boldsymbol{0}$ denotes the row vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ whose entries are 0.*

Proof. Let $D_{i,j}$ denote the matrix that is obtained from Δ by deleting the i^{th} row and j^{th} column. We claim that $det(D_{i,j}) = (-1)^{i+j}\mathcal{T}_G(v_i)$. Clearly, by the matrix-tree theorem the claim holds for $i = j$. So we assume that $i \neq j$. If suffices to show that $det(D_{2,1}) = -\mathcal{T}_G(v_2)$ since otherwise we can repeatedly switch between rows and between columns so that we obtain a new Laplacian matrix with respect to an linear order on V in which v_j and v_i are the first and second elements in this order, respectively. Then we continue the proof with this matrix. Let Δ' denote the matrix obtained from Δ by deleting the second row and the second column. Since the sum of all columns of $\Delta^{'}$ is equal to minus the first column of $D_{2,1}$, and the other columns of $D_{2,1}$ are the same as those of $\Delta^{'}$, we have $det(\Delta^{'}) = -det(D_{2,1})$. By the matrix-tree theorem we have $det(\Delta^{'}) = \mathcal{T}_G(v_2)$, therefore $det(D_{2,1}) = -\mathcal{T}_G(v_2)$.

Since $det(\Delta) = 0$, for any $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \det(\Delta) &= \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} (-1)^{i+j}\Delta_{i,j} det(D_{i,j}) \\ &= \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \mathcal{T}_G(v_i)\Delta_{i,j} = (\mathcal{T}_G(v_1), \mathcal{T}_G(v_2), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_G(v_n))(\Delta_{1,j}, \Delta_{2,j}, \ldots, \Delta_{n,j})^\top \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(\mathcal{T}_G(v_1), \mathcal{T}_G(v_2), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_G(v_n))\Delta = \mathbf{0}$. □

Corollary 1. *The vector $\frac{1}{M}(\mathcal{T}_G(v_1), \mathcal{T}_G(v_2), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_G(v_n))$ is a generator of the kernel of the operator $z \mapsto z\Delta$ in $(\mathbb{Z}^n, +)$.*

Proof. We consider the operator $z \mapsto z\Delta$ in the vector space $\mathbb{Q}^n$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}$. Since Δ has rank $n-1$, the kernel has dimension 1 in $\mathbb{Q}^n$. By Lemma 4 the vector $(\mathcal{T}_G(v_1), \mathcal{T}_G(v_2), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_G(v_n))$ is in the kernel. Thus for any vector $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $z\Delta = 0$ there exists $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $z = q(\mathcal{T}_G(v_1), \mathcal{T}_G(v_2), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_G(v_n))$. Since M is the greatest common divisor of $\mathcal{T}_G(v_1), \mathcal{T}_G(v_2), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_G(v_n)$, we have $qM \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $\frac{1}{M}(\mathcal{T}_G(v_1), \mathcal{T}_G(v_2), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_G(v_n))$ is a generator of the kernel of $z \mapsto z\Delta$ in $(\mathbb{Z}^n, +)$. □

Lemma 5. *For $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ let $\Delta^{'}$ denote the matrix obtained from Δ by deleting the i^{th} column. Then the order of $\Delta_i^{'}$ in the quotient group $(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}, +)/ < \{\Delta_j^{'} : j \neq i\} >$ is $\frac{\mathcal{T}_G(v_i)}{M}$.*

Proof. Clearly, the order of $\Delta_i^{'}$ in $(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}, +)/ < \{\Delta_j^{'} : j \neq i\} >$ is the smallest positive integer p_i such that there exist integers $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_n$ such that $p_i\Delta_i^{'} = \sum_{j\neq i} p_j\Delta_j^{'}$, equivalently

$$(-p_1, -p_2, \ldots, -p_{i-1}, p_i, -p_{i+1}, \ldots, -p_n)\Delta = \mathbf{0}$$

It follows from Corollary 1 that $p_i = \frac{\mathcal{T}_G(v_i)}{M}$ □



(a) $(w_1, \rho_{i_j}) = (w_{i_j}, \rho_{i_j})$



(b) $(w_{i_j+1}, \rho_{i_j+1})$



(c) $(w_{i_j+2}, \rho_{i_j+2})$



(d) $(w_{i_j+3}, \rho_{i_j+3})$



(e) $(w_{i_j+4}, \rho_{i_j+4}) = (w_1, \rho_{i_j+1})$



(f) $\overline{\rho_{i_j}}$



(g) $\overline{\rho_{i_{j+1}}}$

Figure 4

Proof of Theorem 1. Let (w_1, ρ_1) be an arbitrary unicycle of G. Let (w_1, ρ_1), $(w_2, \rho_2), (w_3, \rho_3), \ldots$ be the infinite sequence of single-chip-and-rotor states such that for any $i \geq 1$ the state (w_{i+1}, ρ_{i+1}) is obtained from the state (w_i, ρ_i) by applying the rotor-router operation. By collecting all states (w_i, ρ_i) with $w_i = w_1$ we obtain the subsequence $(w_1, \rho_{i_1}), (w_1, \rho_{i_2}), (w_1, \rho_{i_3}), \ldots$. Note that $1 = i_1$. For each ρ_{i_j} let u_j denote the head of $\rho_{i_j}(w_1)$. Let $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k$, where $k = deg_G^+(w_1)$, be an enumeration of the out-going arcs of w_1 such that $e_1 = \rho_1(w_1)$ and $e_{i+1} = e_i^+$ for any $i < k$, and $e_1 = e_k^+$.

Let $\overline{G}$ denote the graph obtainded from G by deleting all out-going arcs of w_1 , and for each ρ_{i_j} let $\overline{\rho_{i_j}}$ denote the restriction of ρ_{i_j} on $\overline{G}$. Note that $\overline{\rho_{i_j}}$ is an acyclic rotor configuration of $\overline{G}$ (See Figure 4). It follows from the definition of the chip addition operator that $\overline{\rho_{i_{j+1}}} = E_{u_{j+1}}\overline{\rho_{i_j}}$. For each $q > 1$ we define the chip configuration $c_q : V\backslash\{w_1\} \to \mathbb{N}$ by for any $v \in V\backslash\{w_1\}$ $c_q(v)$ is the number of occurrences of v in the sequence $u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q$. The above relation implies that $\overline{\rho_{i_q}} = c_q(\overline{\rho_{i_1}})$. Let $\Delta^{'}$ be the matrix that is obtained from Δ by deleting the column corresponding to w_1. We have $\rho_{i_q} = \rho_{i_1}$ if and only if the following conditions hold

- the configuration c_q is in the same equivalence class as $\mathbf{0}$ in $\overline{G}$. This fact follows from Lemma 3.

- $c_q = p\Delta'_{w_1}$ for some p, where Δ'_{w_1} denotes the row of Δ' corresponding to the vertex w_1. This follows the fact that the sequence $\rho_{i_1}(w_1), \rho_{i_2}(w_1)$, $, \rho_{i_3}(w_1) \ldots$ is exactly the periodic sequence $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k, \ldots$. Note that $\rho_{i_2}(w_1), \rho_{i_3}(w_1), \ldots, \rho_{i_q}(w_1)$ is a periodic sequence of length pk, namely $e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_k, e_1, \ldots, e_2, e_3 \ldots, e_k, e_1$.

Thus $1 + pk$ is the smallest q satisfying $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_q}$, where p is the order of Δ'_{w_1} in $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}/ < \{\Delta'_v : v \in V\backslash\{w_1\}\} >$. By Lemma 5, we have $p = \frac{1}{M}\mathcal{T}_G(w_1)$, therefore $N = 1 + \frac{1}{M}\mathcal{T}_G(w_1)$. Clearly, in the orbit $\{(w_i, \rho_i) : 1 \leq i \leq i_N - 1\}$ the number of times the chip traverses each out-going arc of the vertex w_1 is $\frac{1}{M}\mathcal{T}_G(w_1)$. Since this fact also holds for other vertices, the length of the orbit is $\frac{1}{M}\sum_{v \in V} deg_G^+(v)\mathcal{T}_G(v)$

Since the number of unicycles is $\sum_{v \in V} deg_G^+(v)\mathcal{T}_G(v)$, it follows that the number of orbits of the rotor-router operation is M

□

If G is an Eulerian digraph then the numbers of oriented spanning trees $\mathcal{T}_G(v), v \in V$ are the same since $\mathcal{T}_G(v)$ is equal to the order of the sandpile group of G with sink v and the sandpile group is independent of the choice of sink [4]. Thus $M = \mathcal{T}_G(v_1) = \mathcal{T}_G(v_2) = \cdots = \mathcal{T}_G(v_n)$. By Theorem 1 each orbit of the rotor-router operation has size $\sum_{v \in V} deg_G^+(v) = |E|$. We recover the result in [4, 6].

Proposition 1. *[4, 6] Let G be an Eulerian digraph with m arcs. Starting*

from a unicycle (w, ρ) the chip traverses each arc exactly once before returning to (w, ρ).

Proof of Theorem 2. For each $n \geq 3$ we construct a non-Eulerian digraph G_n as follows. The vertex set of G_n is $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, and the arc set of G_n is $\{(i, i+1) : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{(i, 1) : 2 \leq i \leq n\}$. It is easy to verify that G_n is a non-Eulerian strongly connected digraph. Since G_n has exactly one oriented spanning tree rooted at n, namely the subgraph induced by the arcs in $\{(i, i+1) : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$, we have $\mathcal{T}_{G_n}(n) = 1$, therefore $M = 1$. By Theorem 1 all unicycles are in a single orbit □

References

[1] J. Cooper, B. Doerr, J. Spencer, and G. Tardos. Deterministic random walks. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Analytic Algorithms and Combinatorics*, pages 185-197, 2006.

[2] J. N. Cooper and J. Spencer. Simulating a random walk with constant error. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 15(6):815-822, 2006.

[3] B. Doerr and T. Friedrich. Deterministic random walks on the two-dimentional grid. In *Compbinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 18 (2009), 123-144. Cambridge University Press.

[4] A. E. Holroyd, L. Levin, K. Meszaros, Y. Peres, J. Propp and D. B. Wilson. chip-firing and rotor-routing on directed graphs *In and Out of Equilibrium II, Progress in Probability vol. 60 (Birkhauser 2008)*

[5] A. E. Holroyd and J. Propp. Rotor walks and Markov chains, *Algorithmic Probability and Combinatorics*, Manuel E. Lladser, Robert S. Maier, Marni Mishna, and Andrew Rechnitzer, Editors, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 520 (2010), 105-126.

[6] V. B. Priezzhev, D. Dhar and S. Krishnamurthy. Eulerian walkers as a model of self-organised criticality, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* , 77 (1996) 5079-82.

[7] R. P. Stanley. Enumerative Combinatorics. *Vol. 2, volume 62 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press,* 1999.

Institute of Mathematics, VAST
Department of Mathematics of Computer Science
18 Hoang Quoc Viet Road, Cau Giay District, Hanoi, Vietnam
E-mail address: pvtrung@math.ac.vn